

## SHORT COMMUNICATION

Contributions intended for publication under this heading should be expressly so marked; they should not exceed about 1000 words; they should be forwarded in the usual way to the appropriate Co-editor; they will be published as speedily as possible.

*Acta Cryst.* (1989). **C45**, 1840

**Structure of  $\text{Na}_3\text{M}_3(\text{CO}_3)_5$ . Corrigendum.** By RICHARD E. MARSH,\* *A. A. Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA*

(Received 14 March 1989; accepted 23 May 1989)

## Abstract

The crystal structure of this burbankite-type mineral, where  $M$  represents a mixture of rare-earth metals, Ca, Na and Sr, was described as monoclinic, space group  $P2_1$ , with  $a = 10.412$  (4),  $b = 10.414$  (4),  $c = 6.291$  (3) Å,  $\gamma = 119.80$  (5)°,  $Z = 2$  [Ginderow (1989). *Acta Cryst.* **C45**, 185–187]. It should be described as orthorhombic, space group  $Cmc2_1$  (a higher sub-group of  $P6_3mc$ ), with  $a = 10.444$ ,  $b = 18.018$ ,  $c = 6.291$  Å,  $Z = 4$ . Revised coordinates are given.

The vectors defining the new cell edges are  $[110]$ ,  $[\bar{1}10]$  and  $[001]$ ; the corresponding coordinate transformations are  $x' = 1/2(x + y)$ ,  $y' = 1/2(y - x)$ ,  $z' = z$ . After averaging the transformed coordinates over appropriate pairs of atoms, the  $Cmc2_1$  coordinates in Table 1 result. Included in Table 1 are the e.s.d.'s in the averaged coordinates, as estimated from the values reported by Ginderow (1989; Table 2), and, in square brackets, the shifts in the coordinates of individual unaveraged atoms necessary to achieve the symmetry of  $Cmc2_1$ . These latter values are, on the average, slightly smaller than the individual-atom e.s.d.'s reported by Ginderow.

The revised structure requires that the sites  $M(2)$  and  $M(3)$  be equivalent. The population parameters of these two sites were refined separately by Ginderow, and the resulting values were 0.947 (3) and 0.962 (3) – a marginally significant difference. However, the  $B_{eq}$  value for  $M(3)$  was slightly larger than for  $M(2)$ , 1.08 (2) vs 1.06 (2) Å<sup>2</sup>, so the difference is presumably not real. The remaining metal site,  $M(1)$ , has an appreciably larger population parameter, 1.114 (3); as Ginderow pointed out, this site appears to be preferentially occupied by the heavier rare-earth atoms. [A

Table 1. Coordinates ( $\times 10^4$ ) space group  $Cmc2_1$

Numbers in parentheses are e.s.d.'s in the transformed (and, where appropriate, averaged) coordinates; numbers in square brackets are shifts in the individual (unaveraged) coordinates necessary to achieve the symmetry of  $Cmc2_1$  (see text).

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$B_{eq}$
$M(1)$	0 (-)[0]	-1587 (1)[-]	0 (-)[-]	1.03 (2)
$M(2,3)$	2610 (1)[0]	5794 (1)[0]	18 (4)[1]	1.07 (1)[1]
$\text{Na}(1,3)$	2153 (4)[1]	2616 (3)[0]	1905 (7)[1]	1.6 (1)[0]
$\text{Na}(2)$	0 (-)[4]	4770 (3)[-]	1895 (10)[-]	1.5 (2)[-]
$\text{C}(1)$	0 (-)[2]	-2 (8)[-]	1666 (19)[-]	1.1 (2)[-]
$\text{O}(1)$	0 (-)[2]	706 (7)[-]	1671 (25)[-]	2.3 (4)[-]
$\text{O}(2,3)$	1042 (8)[13]	-358 (5)[11]	1597 (19)[10]	2.5 (3)[1]
$\text{C}(2)$	0 (-)[12]	6672 (7)[-]	258 (15)[-]	1.2 (3)[-]
$\text{O}(4)$	0 (-)[12]	5966 (7)[-]	263 (17)[-]	1.9 (3)[-]
$\text{O}(5,6)$	1050 (8)[4]	7034 (5)[9]	229 (13)[14]	2.0 (2)[0]
$\text{C}(3,4)$	2042 (8)[9]	4018 (5)[10]	-338 (10)[4]	0.9 (2)[2]
$\text{O}(8,9)$	1654 (7)[16]	3890 (4)[0]	1527 (13)[7]	1.5 (2)[0]
$\text{O}(10,13)$	2778 (7)[2]	3543 (4)[5]	-1298 (14)[18]	1.6 (2)[0]
$\text{O}(12,14)$	1692 (7)[2]	4606 (4)[4]	-1325 (13)[3]	1.6 (2)[0]
$\text{C}(5)$	0 (-)[17]	1968 (7)[-]	-353 (14)[-]	0.9 (3)[-]
$\text{O}(7)$	0 (-)[1]	2228 (6)[-]	1511 (10)[-]	1.4 (2)[-]
$\text{O}(11,15)$	1069 (7)[6]	1838 (4)[7]	-1302 (12)[8]	1.6 (2)[0]

population factor of 1.0 corresponds to a mixture of 10 metal atoms in the proportions found in burbankite (Ginderow, 1989).] Since the coordinate shifts necessary to achieve the higher symmetry are so small, there are no significant changes in the interatomic distances reported by Ginderow (1989). The metal site  $M(1)$  and the  $\text{Na}(2)$  atom are now seen to lie on a mirror plane, and three of the  $\text{CO}_3$  groups lie across a mirror plane.

The structure remains pseudo-hexagonal; however, it no longer seems probable that the majority of burbankite-type minerals crystallize in the monoclinic system (Ginderow, 1989).

## Reference

GINDEROW, D. (1989). *Acta Cryst.* **C45**, 185–187.

\* Contribution No. 7921 from the A. A. Noyes Laboratory.